

**BEACHWOOD CITY COUNCIL MINUTES OF THE REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING HELD AT BEACHWOOD CITY HALL, COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 25325 FAIRMOUNT BOULEVARD, ON MONDAY, MARCH 21, 2016 AT 7:00 P.M.**

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 P.M. by Council President Martin S. Horwitz

ROLL CALL: Present: J. Berns, M.S. Horwitz, M.M. Jacobs,  
B.B. Janovitz, B. H. Linick, J. Pasch  
Absent: A. Isaacson.  
Also Present: B. A. Reali, W. Griswold, D.A. Pfaff,  
P.J. Kearns, K. Winebrenner, C. Vild,  
K.A. Carmen, J. Douutt, T. Turick

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE COMMUNICATION COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON MARCH 7, 2016.

Moved by B.B. Janovitz, seconded by J. Pasch, that the minutes of the Communications Committee Meeting held on March 7, 2016 be approved.

ROLL CALL: Yes: J. Berns, M.S. Horwitz, B.B. Janovitz,  
B.H. Linick, J. Pasch  
Abstain: None.  
No: None.  
Not Voting: M.M. Jacobs.  
MOTION ADOPTED

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON MARCH 7, 2016

Moved by J. Berns, seconded by B.B. Janovitz, that the minutes of the Regular Council Meeting held on March 7, 2016 be approved.

ROLL CALL: Yes: J. Berns, M.S. Horwitz, B.B. Janovitz,  
B.H. Linick, J. Pasch  
Abstain: None.  
No: None.  
Not Voting: M.M. Jacobs.  
MOTION ADOPTED

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE EXECUTIVE SESSION AND COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING HELD ON FEBRUARY 22, 2016

Moved by M.M. Jacobs, seconded by J. Berns, that the minutes of the Committee of the Whole Executive Session and Committee of the Whole Meeting held on February 22, 2016 be approved.

ROLL CALL: Yes: J. Berns, M.S. Horwitz, M.M. Jacobs,  
B.B. Janovitz, B.H. Linick, J. Pasch

Abstain: None.  
No: None.  
Not Voting: None.  
MOTION ADOPTED

MAYOR'S REPORT

None.

COUNCIL MEMBERS (NON-AGENDA ITEMS)

None.

DEPARTMENT DIRECTORS

None.

CITIZEN'S REMARKS

Mr. Horwitz stated that Council welcomes anyone to the podium to address Council for three minutes and asks that you please state your name and address for the record. Mr. Horwitz stated there will not be a public debate and the Mayor, Council and Directors will not engage in question and answer sessions and if you have a specific question it will be directed to the appropriate Director for a response at a later time. Mr. Horwitz stated that Council ask that speakers do not engage in personal attacks.

1. Mr. Bill Wexler  
2432 Richmond Road  
Beachwood, Ohio 44122

Mr. Wexler stated that he received an email late Friday regarding a new site plan that was submitted and he wanted to get a jump on this because the new plan is an attempt to circumvent the HOA's input. Mr. Wexler stated that as President, he objects to the variance allowing for an additional condo unit on the U-2A zoning as well as on the site plan showing a 20 foot and 22 foot setback instead of the 25 feet that was originally planned on the site. Mr. Wexler stated that he is of the opinion that Mr. Brickman keeps throwing things up to see what will stick and it is basically trying to put a square peg in a round hole. Mr. Wexler stated that there is no overwhelming or compelling reason for allowing all these variances and as in their previous objections.

Mr. Wexler demonstrated on the site plan drawing common areas that Mr. Brickman is eliminating and there is no real separation between the driveways. Mr. Wexler showed where Mr. Brickman is planning to put the snow and that is part of their common area.

CITIZEN'S REMARKS(continued)

Mr. Wexler stated that he made Mr. Griswold aware that on the current Eglin lot there are all kinds of sanitary pipes that are broken. Mr. Wexler stated that according to the Beachwood City Code the sanitary pipes should also be capped because there is no way of knowing what kind of debris or animals are in there and it is all hooked up to the Cranberry Court sanitary sewer lines.

2. Mr. Mike Burkons  
2466 Richmond Road  
Beachwood, Ohio 44122

Mr. Burkons stated that he has been very interested in the new fire station because it will probably be one of the most expensive projects in Beachwood history when you factor in everything and it is going to affect public safety. Mr. Burkons stated that one of the things he finds ironic is every single thing that the Fire Chief and Council want in this station he does not think anyone has an objection too, except for the Police substation.

Mr. Burkons stated that there is not anything as far as the functionality that anybody thinks is outrageous or unneeded. Mr. Burkons stated that the question he has that has not been answered is if you take every single thing that everyone agrees needs to be in this station and you look around the country over the last five years at other new fire stations that have been built, all of these fire stations have been built within 15,000 square feet or less. Mr. Burkons asked why the Beachwood Fire Station at 28,000 square feet. Mr. Burkons stated that this question was asked at a Committee meeting and the answer from the Fire Chief was, I am a Fire Chief not a construction expert and that is why the City hired an architect they are the experts and the architect stated that is what the City needs. Mr. Burkons stated that the RFQ specifically stated to any potential firm that the City is looking to build a fire station approximately 25,000 square feet with four bays. Mr. Burkons does not think it is a coincidence that the architectural firm presented a 25,000 square foot fire station.

Mr. Burkons suggested not compounding the mistake and think forward two or three years when the fire station is built, it does not need to be this big because doubling the size is going to double the cost.

3. Mr. Eric Synenberg  
2429 Brian Drive  
Beachwood, Ohio 44122

Mr. Synenberg stated that he has also taken an interest in the fire station and understands that everyone agrees that a new fire station is needed. Mr. Synenberg stated that 5,000 square feet for Fire Station #2 is inadequate. Mr. Synenberg stated that he has not seen the inside of that fire station personally but the Fire Chief gave him a tour of Fire Station #1. Mr. Synenberg stated that the last meeting left him with some questions regarding some of the numbers that were stated by the Fire Chief, specifically, the number of calls and call volume in the City. Mr. Synenberg stated that the CAFR from the City shows the numbers for call volume only increased by 20 calls from 2011 to 2014 from 4,589 to 4,609 calls. Mr. Synenberg stated that the document that the Fire Chief used last week showed a 516 call increase which is greatly different. Mr. Synenberg asked for clarification on the actual call volume.

CM 2016-111

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE

1. Submitted to Council an Ordinance amending Part Eleven, Title Three, Sections 1121.04, 1127.04, 1129.05, 1131.06 and 1132.04 of the Beachwood Codified Ordinances; and declaring this to be an urgent measure.

ORDINANCE NO. 2016-21 – Introduced by James Pasch  
AN ORDINANCE AMENDING PART ELEVEN, TITLE THREE,  
SECTIONS 1121.04, 1127.04, 1129.05, 1131.06 AND 1132.04 OF THE  
BEACHWOOD CODIFIED ORDINANCES; AND DECLARING THIS  
TO BE AN URGENT MEASURE

**Placed on First Reading and Referred to the Planning and Zoning Commission on: January 19, 2016.**

**Referred back from the Planning and Zoning Commission on: February 25, 2016**

**Placed on Second Reading on: March 21, 2016**

**Set for Public Hearing on: May 2, 2016**

Mr. Griswold stated that the amendments for all the Sections mentioned are referencing of the off-street parking requirements for exterior eating facilities and any outdoor seating because the limited summer business that the City has, patrons will choose to sit outdoors and there is a redundancy in acquiring the additional seating. Mr. Griswold stated that this was before the Planning and Zoning Commission and they have recommended approval.

Moved by J. Pasch, seconded by J. Berns, that Ordinance No. 2016-21 be placed on second reading and set for Public Hearing on May 2, 2016.

ROLL CALL	Yes:	J. Berns, M.S. Horwitz, M.M. Jacobs, B.B. Janovitz, B. H. Linick, J. Pasch
	Abstain:	None.
	No:	None.
	Not Voting:	None.

MOTION ADOPTED

P&Z 2016-1 Edward A. Erbach, Erbach Waddell Architects LLC, representing Dr. Steven A. Goldman, M.D., Inc., is requesting preliminary site plan approval for a new single-story, 8,278 square foot medical office building, Beachwood Plastic Surgery, to be located at PPN #742-29-020, Park East Drive.

Mr. Erbach is the Architect representing Dr. Goldman who is a plastic surgeon with an office on Park East Drive and he is in negotiations and has an agreement to purchase PPN #742-29-020 contingent upon City approvals. The original program for the complex is approximately 10,000 square feet but because the site is a very difficult site to work with and a small site he was able to build a building at approximately 8,200 square feet with the appropriate parking. Mr. Erbach stated that Dr. Goldman's practice is cosmetic surgery.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE(continued)

Mr. Jacobs asked Mr. Linick if there were any concerns at the Planning and Zoning Commission meeting and Mr. Linick stated there were not.

Mr. Berns asked Mr. Griswold about the variances.

Mr. Griswold stated that a variance was granted of 25 feet to permit the front parking setback to be 10 feet from the right-of-way of Park East in lieu of the required 35 feet. Mr. Griswold stated that the applicant pushed the building, to reduce the size, as far as he could to the rear corner of the site and as part of that recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission the applicant is required to install extensive landscaping within the front setback area. Mr. Griswold stated that some of the other buildings on Park East have parking setbacks that are not what the zoning code currently requires. Mr. Griswold stated that pursuant to 1159.04 a practical difficulty exists relative to the front setback and that is the reason for the granting of the variance on the front setback.

Moved by J. Pasch, seconded by B.H. Linick, that P&Z 2016-1 be adopted.

ROLL CALL	Yes:	J. Berns, M.S. Horwitz, M.M. Jacobs, B.B. Janovitz, B. H. Linick, J. Pasch
	Abstain:	None.
	No:	None.
	Not Voting:	None.

MOTION ADOPTED

P&Z 2016-6            Stephen Ciciretto, A.I.A., Architect, representing Unity Friends LLC, is requesting preliminary site plan approval of a conceptual plan to revise the original site plan for the second building on the existing site to be located at 23650 Commerce Park Drive.

Mr. Ciciretto stated that this project was originally begun on Commerce Park in 2008 and the initial project called for two standard office buildings and only the first building was built. Under the new ownership, this property has taken on a medical office direction and was redesigned because of the stricter parking requirements. Mr. Ciciretto stated that it is a smaller building, 10,000 square feet, rather than the original 12,000 square foot building that was anticipated and it meets all the parking requirements for both buildings together as medical office buildings.

Mr. Griswold stated that the applicant suggested that the new building will be placed at the rear of the parcel and the size was reduced from what was originally approved 12,000 square feet to 10,000 square feet and because of that there are the following variances pursuant to 1159.04 a practical difficulty in enforcement of the Zoning Code Section 1129.03(b)(3) with regard to rear setbacks; Section 1129.03(d)(2) with regard to combined side yard setbacks. Mr. Griswold stated that the variances would be granting a 5 foot variance to Section 1129.03(b)(3) to permit the rear building setback to be 35 feet in lieu of the required 40 feet; and granting a variance of 10 feet to permit the combined building side yards setback to be 70 feet in lieu of the required 80 feet and

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE(continued)

reducing the previously approved variance to four spaces to permit the total on-site parking to be 111 parking spaces. Mr. Griswold stated that when originally approved by the Planning and Zoning Commission and Council there were 115 parking spaces with a variance of four spaces. The reduction of the size of the new building will reduce the previously approved variance by four parking spaces.

Moved by J. Pasch, seconded by M.S. Horwitz, that P&Z 2016-6 be adopted.

ROLL CALL	Yes:	J. Berns, M.S. Horwitz, M.M. Jacobs, B.B. Janovitz, B. H. Linick, J. Pasch
	Abstain:	None.
	No:	None.
	Not Voting:	None.

MOTION ADOPTED

FINANCE AND INSURANCE COMMITTEE

- Submitted to Council an Ordinance for the payment of Certain Claims (Bills).

ORDINANCE NO. 2016-52 – Introduced by Melvin M. Jacobs  
AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PAYMENT OF CERTAIN BILLS (BILLS)

Moved by M.M. Jacobs, seconded by B.B. Janovitz, that Ordinance No. 2016-52 be placed on final reading.

ROLL CALL	Yes:	J. Berns, M.S. Horwitz, M.M. Jacobs, B.B. Janovitz, B. H. Linick, J. Pasch
	Abstain:	None.
	No:	None.
	Not Voting:	None.

MOTION ADOPTED-RULES SUSPENDED

Moved by M.M. Jacobs, seconded by B.B. Janovitz, that Ordinance No. 2016-52 be adopted.

ROLL CALL	Yes:	J. Berns, M.S. Horwitz, M.M. Jacobs, B.B. Janovitz, B. H. Linick, J. Pasch
	Abstain:	None.
	No:	None.
	Not Voting:	None.

MOTION ADOPTED

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

1. Submitted to Council an Ordinance accepting a certain bid from Ronyak Paving, Inc., for the Chagrin Boulevard Resurfacing Project; and declaring this to be an urgent measure.

ORDINANCE NO. 2016-53 – Introduced by James Pasch  
AN ORDINANCE ACCEPTING A CERTAIN BID FROM  
RONYAK PAVING, INC., FOR THE CHAGRIN BOULEVARD  
RESURFACING PROJECT; AND DECLARING THIS TO BE AN  
URGENT MEASURE

Mr. Vild stated that it was the recommendation of Service Director Pekarek to accept the bid of Ronyak Paving, Inc., as the best and lowest bid for \$710,486.86. Mr. Vild stated that the project will span from the bridge deck to Richmond Road to include resurfacing with the inclusion of a turning lane on westbound Chagrin Boulevard onto Enterprise Parkway. Mr. Vild stated that in addition to the turning lane it will require movement of the sidewalk, curbs and traffic lights with mast arm. Mr. Vild stated that the utility poles and utility lines were moved back off the pavement in anticipation of widening the road.

Mr. Linick stated that with the money that is being contributed to this project would it not be applicable to other streets in the City. Mr. Linick asked if this was part of the urban paving program?

Mr. Vild stated that this is only for State roads.

Mr. Linick asked Mr. Vild which are State roads in Beachwood.

Mr. Vild stated Shaker Boulevard, Richmond Road and Chagrin Boulevard are State roads. Mr. Vild stated that Cedar Road and Green Road are County roads.

Mr. Linick stated that he was not sure if Council has gone through the plans for what roads need to be done but it strikes him that there are significant sections on Richmond Road that are in need of repair and asked if those are part of the overall plans.

Mr. Vild stated that he believes they are part of the overall plan. Mr. Vild stated that it is the Service Director's intention to approach Council for approval to pave Richmond Road from Shaker Boulevard to Concord Road, southbound lanes first.

Mr. Linick stated that it would be nice to see the totality of these types of projects before committing to \$700,000.00 on one of the projects.

Mr. Horwitz stated that Richmond Road is coming from different funding.

Mr. Vild stated that this money was applied for last year and the City is under a time constraint and this project has to be completed before July 1, 2016.

Mr. Linick asked when will the other projects take place?

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE(continued)

Mr. Vild stated that Shaker Boulevard will also be paved this year and is another State project and is also under the same time constraints.

Mr. Linick stated that it is difficult when he is seeing only one piece to an overview of all the projects for the City. Mr. Linick stated that as Chairman of the Public Works Committee, he has not had any discussion regarding what the plans for the roads are this year.

Mr. Berns stated that part of this project is widening the turn lane because the car carriers are damaging the curb and tree lawn because there are car dealerships off of Enterprise.

Mr. Jacobs stated that this was already discussed because of the damage to that corner at Enterprise.

Mr. Vild stated that the cars are delivered on a tractor trailer and they need a greater turning radius to turn from Chagrin Boulevard onto Enterprise Place. Mr. Vild stated that with the addition of the turning lane that will give the car carriers ample room to make that turn.

Mr. Linick stated that when the City changed the zoning for these properties from business to car dealership it was never discussed that the City would have to pay a half million dollars to change the roads so that the car carriers can access them. Mr. Linick stated that this is a significant expenditure.

Mr. Horwitz stated that Council had a few discussions about this repair last year but agreed with Mr. Linick that Council should look at the road repairs as a whole package.

Mr. Linick asked if Council could hold off on voting for this until after the Committee of the Whole Meeting on April 18<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Jacobs stated that there are time constraints with this project.

Mr. Linick stated that he hears what Mr. Jacobs is saying but everything is always an emergency and the reality is that while there may have been discussions a year ago, there has been zero discussions with him in the past three months.

Mr. Berns stated that if the City does not move forward with this project the City will lose the contractor because he has other jobs and the City will also lose the funding from the State.

Mr. Linick stated that all too often Council is pressured into voting because of time constraints and these projects do not happen overnight. Mr. Linick stated that at some point, Council needs to be given time to look at these projects and Council has spent a significant amount of time on projects that cost significantly less than this project and those are all projects Council would like to see completed. Mr. Linick stated that if Council has a meeting in a week to discuss the overall road repair program for the year maybe Council could wait a week on voting on this legislation.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE(continued)

Mr. Horwitz stated that the discussion on Monday, April 18<sup>th</sup> is specific to Richmond Road construction.

Mr. Pasch stated that Council could have a long process conversation about how things should be presented to Committees of Council before they are placed on an agenda and Council could see the full scope of everything that needs to be done before voting on individual projects. Mr. Pasch stated that is a logical way to go about doing things and hold a Public Works Committee meeting to discuss road paving for the fiscal year and how is the City going to go about doing that. Mr. Pasch stated that the problem with the current legislation that is before Council is that he does not want to lose State funding over a road that has to be done. Mr. Pasch stated that we could change the process moving forward but he thinks where Council currently stands is they are better off voting on this piece of legislation and then talking more comprehensively about what else will get accomplished in a twelve month period.

Mr. Linick stated that there is a Committee of the Whole Meeting in a week and he does not know how this project would get to a place where if it was not voted on until the next meeting it will not be able to happen.

Mr. Jacobs asked Mr. Linick what he thinks will change between now and next week.

Mr. Linick stated that if Council is serious about looking at the way the City spends money for projects, he thinks that it is part of an overall package for the year and it makes sense to hear what the overall package and make sure that Council agrees with the priority of projects. Mr. Linick stated that he would not want to be here in a week and look at the overall projects and say maybe we should not have done that a week ago. Mr. Linick stated that maybe there are more projects that are on Council's priority list.

Mr. Horwitz stated going forward it is a valid concern and Council can discuss compiling lists but this project has been moving forward and has been worked on by the Service Department already.

Mr. Linick stated to Mr. Horwitz it may have been worked on but there are three new members of Council who were not here when it was discussed.

Mr. Horwitz stated that he understood but Council cannot stop every project based on the concept that three people were not here. Mr. Horwitz stated that the City is under certain constraints from the State and things need to keep flowing.

Mr. Linick stated that if there was some kind of extenuating circumstances wherein waiting a week to be done or not is a whole other issue. Mr. Linick stated that this is not a small amount of money and Council has been willing to cater to other people's questions when Council is talking about \$30-\$50,000.00 expenditures. Mr. Linick asked Mr. Vild if the City is in a position where a week makes a difference.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE(continued)

Mr. Vild stated that this process started last year and the City applied for State funding to complete this section of Chagrin Boulevard and the work on Shaker Boulevard. Mr. Vild stated that the State's calendar year for these projects is July 1<sup>st</sup> through June 30<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Linick asked if the lack of approval for another week jeopardize the project?

Mr. Vild stated no.

Mr. Jacobs moved to call the question.

Mr. Linick moved to place Ordinance No. 2016-53 on first reading.

Mr. Berns asked how long this project will take from milling the pavement to moving the traffic signal.

Mr. Vild stated 30 days total.

Mr. Horwitz stated that Mr. Jacobs made a Motion to call the question.

Mr. Reali stated that there is a Motion to call the question and if that passes than Council will vote on Ordinance No. 2016-53.

Mr. Linick asked if Council is seeking a second to the Motion to stop the discussion.

Mr. Berns seconded Mr. Jacobs Motion to call the question.

ROLL CALL	Yes:	J. Berns, M.S. Horwitz, M.M. Jacobs, B.B. Janovitz
	Abstain:	None.
	No:	B.H. Linick, J. Pasch
	Not Voting:	None.

MOTION ADOPTED

Mr. Pasch stated that Mr. Linick made a Motion to place Ordinance No. 2016-53 on first reading and to vote on it next week. Mr. Pasch asked if that vote can be seconded.

Mr. Reali stated that Mr. Jacob's Motion comes first and you can only have one Motion on the floor at a time.

Mr. Jacobs stated that Council needs to first vote on Ordinance No. 2016-53.

Mr. Reali stated that the Ordinance No. 2016-53 needs to be voted on first.

Mr. Linick asked if that was a mechanism for Mr. Jacobs to end the discussion.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE(continued)

Moved by J. Pasch, seconded by J. Berns, that Ordinance No. 2016-53 be placed on final reading.

ROLL CALL	Yes:	J. Berns, M.S. Horwitz, M.M. Jacobs, B.B. Janovitz, J. Pasch
	Abstain:	None.
	No:	B.H. Linick.
	Not Voting:	None.

MOTION ADOPTED-RULES SUSPENDED

Moved by J. Pasch, seconded by J. Berns, that Ordinance No. 2016-53 be adopted.

ROLL CALL	Yes:	J. Berns, M.S. Horwitz, M.M. Jacobs, B.B. Janovitz, J. Pasch
	Abstain:	None.
	No:	B.H. Linick.
	Not Voting:	None.

MOTION ADOPTED

2. Submitted to Council a Motion authorizing the Clerk to advertise for bidders for the Greenlawn Avenue Reconstruction.

Mr. Vild stated that it is the Service Director’s recommendation to pave Greenlawn Avenue between Beachwood Boulevard and Halycon. Mr. Vild stated because of past utility repairs on the block the base of the street in certain areas is no longer stable.

Moved by J. Berns, seconded by J. Pasch, that the Clerk be authorized to advertise for bidders for the Greenlawn Avenue Reconstruction be adopted.

ROLL CALL	Yes:	J. Berns, M.S. Horwitz, M.M. Jacobs, B.B. Janovitz, B.H. Linick, J. Pasch
	Abstain:	None.
	No:	None.
	Not Voting:	None.

MOTION ADOPTED

Mr. Linick asked if we already addressed Council Members (Non Agenda Items).

Mr. Horwitz stated that yes we already addressed Council Members (Non Agenda Items).

Mr. Linick asked if he could make a comment. Mr. Linick stated that he understands that this might seem like a non-issue talking about a road, but in a City where we have all committed and some more recently than others, to be looking at the way the City spends money, Council should not view a road any different than a dispatch center, a fire station, and Council videos. Mr.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE(continued)

Linick stated that these are all expenditures of the City and it seems eerily familiar of previous years on Council to rush things through when people are asking questions. Mr. Linick stated that it is striking to him that so many people who were committed to an open dialogue and to reviewing the City's expenditures and protecting the tax dollars have so quickly accelerated to end a conversation about a matter or give time to Council members to review and look into it further. Mr. Linick stated that this has come up on other issues, not necessarily important to one of us, or all of us, but his position has always been that if one of us would like more time to look at a matter and we, as Council, owe that to the people that have elected us.

Mr. Linick stated that he understands Mr. Jacobs would like to move this through but it is a bigger picture and it should not be rushed and the City should not be in a position where we are threatened if we do not vote on something right away it that will jeopardize some huge project for the City. Mr. Linick stated that he understands he is talking about a road but it seems that Council does not give those expenditures enough scrutiny.

Mr. Linick stated that the old way of passing things and not looking at them and moving forward at a fast pace did not bode well for the City's reputation. Mr. Linick stated that everyone needs to take a look at how we got to the point we are at now and our commitment to try and do better. Mr. Linick stated to Mr. Horwitz that these items should be addressed with Council prior to voting if they are this significant. Mr. Linick stated not everyone was around for all these decisions a year ago.

Mr. Horwitz stated that if it was a genuine concern, and not to diminish Mr. Linick's comments, the packets are given to Council early enough and if anyone wants to call him and state they are very concerned and would like to continue it and not wait until we are at a Council meeting.

Mr. Linick stated that some of these items are just as necessary to discuss in public instead of through email. Mr. Linick stated he was traveling the last five days and it was difficult considering when he received the packet.

Mr. Jacobs stated that he has been on Council 18 years and nothing is rushed through Council, and Mr. Linick might think it is rushed through but that is his opinion. Mr. Jacobs stated that past Councilmen deliberated, the Directors have gone through their process and efforts. Mr. Jacobs stated that this specific project has been talked about in the past and if you want to make a list of things that is fine. Mr. Jacobs stated that the community has not been hurt in anyway and is in excellent shape.

Mr. Pasch stated that there were a couple of issues that were presented this evening. Mr. Pasch stated that one was to vote to stop the discussion and he does not think that is a vote that should have happened and that is why he voted no. Mr. Pasch stated that when it comes to the actual legislation which he voted in favor of, there was a presentation that was made to the former Council, and he realizes not all of Council was there. Mr. Pasch stated that he understands Councilman Linick's argument about looking at things more holistically on a whole variety of

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE(continued)

fronts, public works being one of them and Council could discuss having a 12 month plan or a 24 month plan regarding road work. Mr. Pasch stated, however, he does think that the individual projects discussed by Council needs to be discussed in greater depth, it is not just the dollar figure. Mr. Pasch stated that when you discuss whether or not Council is going to relocate or build a dispatch center; or the City is going to join another dispatch center or build a whole new fire station and where that fire station is going to be located, and how big that fire station is going to be, those are much more complex decisions compared to whether or not you are going to repave a road.

Mr. Pasch stated that he understands the cost factor is significant and it is not an option that you are going to repave the road or you are not going to repave the road and generally repaving the road is something that needs to be done for safety concerns and weather concerns in northeast Ohio. Mr. Pasch stated that the City applied for and received the State funding so the City is supplementing the State funding and that ball has been rolling for a long time. Mr. Pasch stated that he is not in total disagreement that Council needs to approach things differently but it is also important to understand that there are some projects that will inherently require less public discussion than other projects because they are less complex in nature.

Mr. Linick stated that this is what the meetings should be which is having these discussions. Mr. Linick stated that if he is looking at a stretch of road that is 500 feet, there are not a lot of options, either it is being repaired or not. Mr. Linick stated that if the 500 feet of road is part of a greater plan and you are looking at the budget overall for the City and what projects need to be done, it might be a smaller part of a larger plan. Mr. Linick stated that in a week, if Council is going to be presented with bigger picture items for that plan, than maybe it does not make sense to vote on this one piece today.

Mr. Linick stated that he knows that roads do not seem that exciting to talk about or many options as to repairing a road when it goes bad, but these are huge dollar items for the City and for the budget every year. Mr. Linick stated these items get the least amount of scrutiny because they are roads but these are huge dollars.

ADJOURNMENT

Moved by M.M. Jacobs, seconded by J. Berns, to adjourn the Regular Council Meeting at 8:10 P.M. to the next regularly scheduled Council Meeting.

ROLL CALL:	Yes:	J. Berns, M.S. Horwitz, M.M. Jacobs, B.B. Janovitz, B.H. Linick, J. Pasch
	Abstain:	None.
	No:	None.
	Not Voting:	None.

MOTION ADOPTED

Approved:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor